Τ	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
8	REDIGITION IDVIDORI BOIND MEETING
9	FORT MCCLELLAN, ALABAMA
10	PORT MCCDBBBAN, ADADAMA
11	
12	* * *
13	
14	Taken pursuant to stipulation and
15	agreement before Donna D. Gallahar, Court
16	Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
17	Alabama at Large in Anniston, Alabama on the
18	15th day of September, 2003, commencing at
19	approximately 6:30 p.m.
20	
21	
22	
23	

1		R	E	P	0	R	Т	E	R	'	S		I	N	D	E X	
2																	
3	CAPTIO	N.		•	•		•	•	•						•	.Page	1
4	INDEX.															.Page	2
5	RAB ME	ET:	IN	G.												.Page	3-55
6	REPORT	ER	' S	CI	ER:	ΓII	FIO	CA:	ΓE	•		•	•	•	•	.Page	56-57
7																	
8																	
9			-														
10																	
11																	
12																	
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	
21																	
22																	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	DR. HARRINGTON: Good evening, at
2	this time the RAB will come to order. Our first
3	order will be the roll. Harrington, here. Mr.
4	Doyle.
5	MR. DOYLE: Here.
6	DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Beckett.
7	MR. BECKETT: Here.
8	DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Buford. Mr.
9	Clendenin.
10	MR. CLENDENIN: Here.
11	DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Conroy. Dr.
12	Cox.
13	DR. COX: Present.
14	DR. HARRINGTON: Dr. Steffy.
15	DR. STEFFY: Here.
16	DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Elser.
17	MR. ELSER: Here.
18	DR. HARRINGTON: Ms. Fathke.
19	MS. FATHKE: Here.
20	DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Franklin.
21	MR. FRANKLIN: Here.

22

23

NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

Branchfield has an excused absence. Mayor

DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. McCary. Mr.

- 1 Kimbrough. Ms. Bragg has an excused absence. Mr.
- 2 Mitchell.
- 3 MR. MITCHELL: Here.
- 4 DR. HARRINGTON: And Mr. Miller.
- 5 Okay, I think Mr. Brittan has an excused absence.
- 6 Mr. Grant?
- 7 MR. GRANT: Here.
- 8 DR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Levy.
- 9 MR. LEVY: Here.
- 10 DR. HARRINGTON: And Mr. Stroud.
- MR. STROUD: Here.
- DR. HARRINGTON: Okay, at this time
- we'll introduce the persons that are with us, and
- we'll start on this side.
- MR. MCNEAL: I'm Al McNeal, I'm with
- the Huntsville Corps of Engineers.
- 17 MR. HOLCOMB: Art Holcomb with
- 18 Foster Wheeler.
- 19 MR. BIGGS: Todd Biggs, Foster
- Wheeler.
- 21 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Brenda Cunningham
- 22 with the transition force.
- MR. PARROTT: Chip Parrott with the

1	~	_	- '	3.7 1 1 7
1	('Arna	$\cap$ T	Engineers	WANIA
	COTOD	$\circ$	BIIGTICCIS	INDDITE.

- 2 MR. JAMES: Paul James with the
- 3 environmental office transition force.
- 4 MS. HOLSTEIN: Lisa Holstein,
- 5 transition force.
- MS. FLETCHER: I'm Yonnie Fletcher,
- 7 I go to this church.
- 8 MS. CLEMENCE: Sara Clemence from the
- 9 Anniston Star.
- 10 MR. DAFFRON: Bob Daffron, National
- 11 Guard Training Center.
- 12 MR. SHANKS: Bill Shanks, transition
- 13 force.
- 14 MR. DOYLE: I would just like to
- 15 speak out of turn and quickly just thank Monty
- 16 Clendenin for the use of your pretty facilities
- 17 tonight. Thank you very much in an effort to bring
- 18 the story to the community. Although,
- 19 unfortunately, I don't see too much of the
- 20 community here, but thank you very much and also
- 21 please pass our thanks to the ladies of the church
- for the nice little spread they put out here this
- evening. Thank you.

1	DR. HARRINGTON: At this time, if we
2	may, we will move to the approval of our August
3	minutes.
4	MR. MITCHELL: Move to accept.
5	MS. FATHKE: Second.
6	DR. HARRINGTON: It has been
7	properly motioned and seconded that the August
8	minutes be approved. Are you ready to vote? All
9	in favor of accepting the minutes as prepared, let
10	it be known by aye.
11	RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
12	DR. HARRINGTON: Opposed the same
13	opportunity. There being none, the motion does
14	carry. At this time we will move into our program
15	which is an update on early transfer status.
16	MR. DOYLE: Okay, I just want to
17	make a few opening remarks, just give you a quick
18	overview and then turn the remainder of the program
19	over to Ron to get into the details. But as
20	probably you folks are aware today we had a
21	ceremony with regard to early transfer and
22	privatization of basically the remainder of Fort
23	McClellan with the exception of a few small pieces

1	of property. In attendance today was the Under
2	Secretary of the Army for Installations and the
3	Environment Mr. Prosch who represented the Army.
4	Master of ceremonies was Mayor of Anniston Mr. Chip
5	Howell. Also in attendance was our Congressman
6	Mike Rogers, and representatives from both of our
7	U.S. Senators who were in attendance and spoke, as
8	well as a representative from the Office of
9	Economic Development from the state office. And
10	obviously just about anybody with name recognition
11	in the community was present today. So it was a
12	very nice ceremony. It was well received I
13	believe.
14	Basically this brings to closure at least a
15	portion of the cleanup process at Fort McClellan.
16	As you are all aware, the Army business is not
17	cleanup and closure of installations. The
18	philosophy has slowly evolved over the last several
19	years, since my involvement with the BRAC process,
20	to where we feel it's appropriate and the community
21	is better served by the community holding its own
22	fate in its own hands relative to the cleanup
23	process.

1	So we've done, as we've previously explained,
2	we've completed the FOSET, the finding of
3	suitability for an early transfer of approximately
4	forty-seven hundred acres. With that, the
5	responsibility of the cleanup of those forty-seven
6	hundred acres now rests with the Joint Powers
7	Authority, at least a portion of that. The
8	unofficial term of that is phase one and phase two.
9	And Ron will explain where those areas are covered.
10	But, essentially to a great extent the Army's
11	hands-on involvement in the cleanup process is
12	coming to an end at least with the portion of the
13	property involved.
14	That's not to mean that the Army's presence is
15	going to disappear. We have a lot of other cleanup
16	actions that don't relate to the JPA, in
17	particular, the Eastern Bypass and, of course,
18	clean up continues in the fish and wildlife area of
19	the Mountain Long Leaf Pine Refuge, which will take
20	at least a couple of years to complete. So, our
21	presence, while we will be somewhat limited, will
22	remain.
23	Of immediate importance to you folks is the

1	status of the RAB. It's our intent that the RAB
2	will continue with the people here. I envision, at
3	least initially, a bifurcated proceedings where
4	first half of the agenda will be run by the Army
5	relative to our cleanup actions and our cleanup
6	responsibilities in the community in what was
7	formerly Fort McClellan. And then the second half
8	of the agenda we will turn over to the JPA for them
9	to basically run the same type program relative to
10	their cleanup actions. And your responsibilities
11	will be the same, to ask questions, to provide
12	comments, critiquing, criticism, good points, bad
13	points, whatever and continue with that process.
14	So, other than a two-part program, I see little
15	change in how we go about doing business relative
16	with the RAB.
17	Now, as far as the particulars of the program,
18	I'll turn this over to Ron to give you some of
19	this will be repetitive. But, I'll tell you it's
20	taken me the last nine months to learn some of
21	these acronyms and figure out exactly what the
22	importance of each of the documents are. And Ron
23	will give you a rundown on that.

1 MR. LEVY: I'm not going to go into 2 too much detail, because it would be a long, long 3 session. But what I did for you, in front of you is a map, it's the same map that you see up here on 5 the easel. I'll try to give you a feel for really 6 what the Army is doing, what the JPA is doing, and what the Army and the JPA may be doing in terms of a site. 9 Because there is a mixture of JPA doing characterization and cleanup, JPA doing 10 characterization, and there's an Army retained 11 12 cleanup, which doesn't mean the Army is going to do 13 it, it means that at some point somebody is going 14 to do it. It's probably going to end up in a phase two, where you probably heard the second part of 15 16 this is going to come in, we're going to pay the 17 JPA the second part to clean up. And then there is Army retained conditions. Some of that are sites 18 19 that we already know will go into an NFA, no 20 further action, but we haven't gotten there yet. We're working with the state. So those sites 21 22 really aren't part of the negotiations for the

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

23

cleanup.

1	Let me just kind of orient you. First off,
2	everything you see in yellow on here is property
3	that is already transferred, either what's in the
4	Charlie area here, to the Fish and Wildlife
5	Service, or what's in the yellow here to the JPA,
6	already in their hands. What you see in the pink
7	is property that's pending transfer. There's a
8	D-12 out there, you've heard about that before.
9	That's essentially what that property is. That's
10	going to be executed here shortly. That's a
11	no-brainer. There isn't any environmental
12	conditions associated with that that's pending
13	toward the cleanup on, so you can consider that a
14	done deal.
15	What you see in the purple, for one the purple
16	up here, this essentially is the National Guard
17	Training Center. That's still to be transferred.
18	It's under license to the National Guard pending
19	transfer to the Guard on 1348?
20	MR. DAFFRON: 1354.
21	MR. LEVY: 1354. So it's just
22	showing up in purple there. Then you've got
23	property here which is the Eastern Bypass, you are

1	all familiar with that. That's not going to the
2	JPA, that's going to the Alabama Department of
3	Transportation through the Department of
4	Transportation as a pass-through.
5	There's other property, you'll see these sites
6	here that are purple. Those are tank sites that
7	were identified. Those are going to the water
8	board for future tank sites. We've got issues on
9	those that are associated with cleanup. Most of
10	that is UXO and/or lead on ranges issues. That's
11	not part of the negotiations for the ESCA, this
12	cooperative agreement we've been talking about.
13	You heard about the finding of suitability to
14	transfer, the FOSET and the early transferred
15	property. On your map, everything that's shown
16	here in the blue, down here, is part of the finding
17	suitability to transfer. And everything which is
18	shown here up here in the green is part of the
19	finding of suitability to transfer early transfer.
20	Now, early transfer means we've provided the
21	property to the JPA but the cleanup hasn't
22	necessarily been accomplished. So, all that
23	property again in the blue and in the green is

coming to the JPA through this early transfer
process.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And now I'm going to talk to you a little bit about within that property what we did. Let me just talk about the blue. This is the Bravo area that you all are familiar with where we have been working an investigation for UXO and there are ranges and range sites out here that we're also investigating for lead. I don't show you any of the sites in here because none of this is going to the JPA at this point as part of this ESCA. It's going to be negotiated as part of phase two that Joe just mentioned to you. So there are lots of sites and I'll get, this second sheet I think in there shows you what those sites, yeah, called HTRW responsibility. Everything here in blue is part of the Bravo area from UXO we're investigating that will eventually come down to sites that need clean up. But within those, if you'll look at that map, I'll take this one down, I'll just have to put it up then, you'll see what those sites are. And those have not been negotiated. But they will be negotiated starting this coming fiscal year to be

1	executed in fiscal year `05 for cleanup with the
2	JPA.
3	MR. DOYLE: If I may make this
4	clear, that property is still being transferred,
5	it's just the responsibility for the cleanup will
6	remain with the Army for the time being. But it is
7	going in the same deed as in the phase one
8	property.
9	MR. LEVY: I don't want to confuse
10	anybody. Has anybody got any questions about that
11	before I move on?
12	MS. FATHKE: What determines whether
13	the JPA gets the land and the cleanup
14	responsibility versus just the land and the Army
15	retains cleanup?
16	MR. LEVY: Well, the only
17	determination right now is if it's an NFA, we're
18	going to try to complete, you know, if we know we
19	are going to an NFA we're going to try to clean it
20	up or complete the NFA. But everything else
21	MS. FATHKE: NFA?
22	MR. LEVY: No further action. But
23	everything else should be part of the negotiations

1	ior	the	JPA	to	do	the	cleanup.

MR. DOYLE: Donna, if that question 2 3 is why did we keep Bravo out of it, the answer is very straightforward. It's because of the 5 complexity of this process. It's a learning curve 6 for all parties involved. I mean this has never been done before on this scale. For ease of making 8 this happen, it was decided that we would 9 concentrate on Alpha area, which is the priority area for redevelopment for the JPA. And this 10 process took nine months. And Ron has been 11 12 working, I mean, sixty hours a week with regard to 13 this process alone, times a whole host of other 14 people involved with this. We've devoted probably 15 seventy percent of our time and effort in this 16 process in the last nine months. If we had also taken on Bravo area at the same 17 time, that would have delayed this entire process 18 19 by some probably six months to even as far out as a 20 year in costing us and figuring out exactly what remained to be done. As well as the 21 22 characterization over all in the Alpha area was

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

further along. So it was easier to put a final

23

1	cost on what the ultimate cleanup cost would be
2	associated with the Alpha area.
3	DR. COX: So the ceremony this
4	morning did not deal with the blue area?
5	MR. DOYLE: It did with regard to
6	transferring the property via deed. It did not
7	with regard to the actual final cleanup. Now, some
8	of that also is going to be driven by dollars. So,
9	while we are going to move into that phase two,
10	it's somewhat dependent on the money that the Army
11	has in order to fund all of that with up-front
12	dollars, so to speak, as opposed to spreading out
13	the cost as we do now in the process.
14	MR. LEVY: If you look at the
15	cooperative agreement as it's written now too, it
16	allows for an amendment, so essentially what will
17	happen is this cooperative agreement will be
18	amended to include these additional sites. But
19	we'll have to go through another cost study which
20	is what we did to derive the numbers and the costs
21	to get to that forty-eight point five million that
22	you heard them talk about. So there will be
23	another cost study that will be done in relation to

the Bravo area and its sites in there to determine
what the Army is going to fund from the standpoint
of cleanup down there.

And to add to what Joe said, when we first started down this road, one thing we asked the JPA a long time ago, from a priority standpoint, what was the priority, and the Alpha area was the priority from the industrial development standpoint. And that's in fact where the focus of the cleanup went to. So we were a lot further along on the characterization than we were down in the Bravo area. And right now we're getting to the point or we're getting to the point where the characterization is at a point where we really can start talking about what the costs are going to be. The better the characterization, obviously the better we are able to derive numbers that we can both agree with.

With that, let me talk to you about the Alpha area. There are sites, and if you'll look, I gave you some slides too I think. You've got this little thing here in your -- what we tried to do, if you'll look up in the top right-hand corner of

1	your slide, you'll see the little pattern right
2	there. Looks like blue polka dots. Okay, these
3	are the sites where the JPA is going to
4	characterize and the Army is going to complete
5	remediation. Again, when I say Army completes
6	remediation, is a big part of phase two and should
7	eventually become part of the JPA cleanup as well.
8	Right now what we're saying is we have an
9	agreement with the JPA that you'll do the
10	characterization and the Army has got a retained
11	condition which either has to be cleaned up, has to
12	be cleaned up by us or the JPA at some point in the
13	future.
14	Those three sites on your map are T-38, this
15	big area right here, can y'all see that? It's
16	listed as parcel 186(6). Can you see it on your
17	map? Also parcels 510 and 183, which are down in
18	the central portion of the installation right here
19	(indicating). Those are associated with T-6, both
20	of those sites have got groundwater issues, T-6 and
21	the Cane Creek Training Area as well as T-38. And
22	what we've agreed to with T-6 and the Cane Creek
23	Training Area, which we've got them together

1	because they are in proximity, is that the JPA will
2	complete the characterization, in other words, they
3	will do a feasibility study, they will take the
4	data that we've got to this point and complete that
5	study and do a feasibility study and come to a
6	conclusion on a remedy.
7	At that point, then we can start talking about
8	the cleanup. The same thing with T-38.
9	DR. COX: Ron, you said you would
10	start talking about cleanup. How would you decide
11	what the Army is willing to do compared to what
12	they want you to do?
13	MR. LEVY: Well, we will be involved
14	in reviewing and deciding what the actual remedy
15	will be. I can't tell you what that's going to
16	look like at this point, whether it's some sort of
17	groundwater remedial action or land use control.
18	At this point, I don't have a clue. We'll have to
19	get through this review with the JPA, let them
20	complete the study and then sit down with them to
21	discuss before we actually decide on what the ROD
22	would be, the record of decision. And again, that
23	will involve both EPA and the state because of

20

1 their role and oversight.

2 MR. DOYLE: Keep in mind,

3 characterization is important. Once that

4 characterization is complete, the thought is and

5 the natural lead into that or follow-up to that is

6 that the JPA in phase two would then probably get

7 the responsibility for the actual remediation

8 piece.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

They would have done the characterization, at that point, then all parties will then be able to figure out the cost options as well as what's not only protective of human health and the environment if there is an environmental contamination issue, but also what's the appropriate remedy for the given reuse that the JPA wants to do in that particular area, which might change. Which is not a bad thing in this case in that the flexibility will be there for the JPA to do the appropriate type remediation for what they believe is the intended reuse.

Or perhaps ABC Company is at their doorstep saying "Hey, we want an industrial complex." Well, obviously we don't have to weigh as a potential

1	remediation cleaning it up to a residential
2	standard, because they know up front that the
3	minimum standard would be something lesser because
4	it's an industrial reuse that's involved. Or on
5	the alternative, they know that there is a
6	residential developer knocking at their door, well,
7	then they know that that's what they need to be
8	looking at for purposes of the cleanup action.
9	Does that answer your question?
10	MR. LEVY: Donna, do you have a
11	question?
12	MS. FATHKE: No.
13	MR. LEVY: Okay, the next series of
14	sites is the sites where the JPA is going to
15	complete characterization and remediation. That's
16	your next sheet on there. And I know it's really
17	hard to see on the map in front of you. But all of
18	the sites that have this, call it an orange line,
19	is that the right color? Orangy line around it.
20	And I'll start Parcel 66 small weapons repair, and
21	it's right here (indicating.) Basically what we
22	had come up with is that the JPA was going to
23	pursue a no further action on that. We, there is

1	some groundwater contamination, but we had
2	suggested land use control. The JPA in their
3	negotiations understood and agreed so that, and
4	it's localized. There's localized groundwater,
5	lots of organic compounds, so we've all agreed at
6	this point that the Army is not going to do an
7	additional remediation. We have given money in
8	there for additional characterization for the JPA
9	and to pursue essentially a no further action
10	decision document. Landfill 1, Parcel 78(6) over
11	here. As part of the agreement the JPA is going to
12	be responsible for capping and maintaining that
13	landfill. So there's dollars in the cooperative
14	agreement to in fact accomplish that.
15	And then Landfill #2, Parcel 79(6), again,
16	this is another capping. One of the things the JPA
17	did ask for because they were concerned about
18	dioxin is we did not have any dioxin samples, so
19	the Army doesn't believe it's going to be an issue,
20	is that they wanted to do some additional sampling
21	for dioxin, so we allowed for that. And if there
22	is a dioxin issue, it becomes an Army retained
23	condition. The JPA is not responsible for any

1	remedial action if there is anything associated
2	with dioxin at the site.
3	And then Landfill #3, our favorite landfill,
4	up here in the periphery. This is where the
5	groundwater issue, JPA is responsible for the
6	characterization and completion of the RI and
7	implementing essentially capping and treatment for
8	the groundwater. And then JPA will maintain that
9	cap for thirty years. If there's anything that can
10	be found outside of that, and when I say outside of
11	that, if you look at the box that's drawn there,
12	the Army will retain responsibility for addressing
13	that separately. Although the Army doesn't believe
14	that that will be the case. But there are
15	provisions in the agreement to allow for that.
16	And then Landfill #4
17	MR. BECKETT: Ron?
18	MR. LEVY: Sure.
19	MR. BECKETT: How are the
20	twenty-year cap and the thirty-year cap, how did
21	those numbers come about? Is that
22	MR. LEVY: Those are really
23	regulatory driven from a long-term monitoring

1	standpoint. So the state is involved in making
2	sure that we meet RCRA standards for caps which are
3	generally thirty years in duration. Philip, can
4	you add anything to that?
5	MR. STROUD: I think that's correct.
6	MR. BECKETT: Is that based on some
7	science that suggests that whatever is in there is
8	no longer toxic after twenty to thirty years? What
9	sort of, I'm just, in other words, where do those
10	regulations come from? How does the community know
11	it's safe? What happens to the maintenance after
12	twenty years, after thirty years?
13	MR. LEVY: Let me put it to you this
14	way. The cap itself has to be maintained. The
15	twenty to thirty years is really for the monitoring
16	standpoint. The cap will always have to be
17	maintained. That is a requirement.
18	MR. STROUD: After twenty years it
19	could be extended again if there are still issues
20	or problems.
21	MR. LEVY: And again, since we've
22	already capped Landfill 4, Landfill 4, there's
23	really no additional requirements there and we all

1	agree that the JPA will continue to monitor. There
2	are some moneys in there for monitoring and
3	maintenance of the cap under this agreement.
4	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: If JPA goes away
5	before the thirty years, then does that go to the
6	City of Anniston?
7	MR. LEVY: Joe, you would have to
8	talk to that one. I'm not sure.
9	MR. DOYLE: Well, there's some talk
10	of that, but also you've got to remember that if
11	the land is disposed of to a developer, outside
12	interest, and it's a fit purpose, obviously, or
13	it's not disposed of, that's going to be, whoever
14	the recipient of that property's responsibilities.
15	Those provisions will continue in any follow-on
16	deed. And that's the same with any land use
17	control, whether it be a cap, whether it be a
18	prohibition against use, drilling for water for
19	human consumption, whatever it might be. Those
20	land use controls will follow the land with the
21	deed.
22	MR. LEVY: The one thing I did want
23	to say too is that these are really boiled down

1	what I've got in these slides. I mean, there's a
2	lot more detail in the cost study of what we're
3	paying for. And I'd refer you back to JPA's cost
4	study in terms of what really we're paying for in
5	terms of the requirements.
6	So 88Q, end of cycle testing up in this area
7	here. There is some soil removal and some lead
8	stabilization out there that the JPA will do to get
9	that site to completion. Moving on. 89Q, which is
10	just south of that, this area right here
11	(indicating), also linked with 215Q and there's
12	some limited surface clearance that we're paying
13	for in that particular area that we've agreed to.
14	94(7) which is motor pool 1500 area, down
15	here, again, this was a land use control. They
16	were going to pursue a no further action decision
17	on this, but really the issue was to maintain it,
18	to control it and maintain it as is. Because there
19	was some limited groundwater issues out there.
20	103Q, up in this area here, (indicating)
21	again, there's some more soil removal because this
22	was a lead issue from weapons firing, some off-site
23	disposal. So we were going to pay the JPA to bring

27

it back to get it to, to get that removal done.

- 2 126(7), this is more of those fill areas up here.
- 3 Again, this is another capping and maintenance for
- 4 twenty years. And there was some additional
- 5 sampling that was going to go on up in that area
- 6 that we've agreed to pay for. They were going to
- 7 look at other organic compounds that they were a
- 8 little bit concerned with. But if anything arises
- 9 out of that, which we're not sure there is, it will
- 10 be an Army-retained condition. And we'll have to
- 11 either renegotiate or do something differently
- 12 there.
- 13 126(7), that's the one I just went across.
- 14 132Q-X, up in this area here up in the middle of
- 15 the Alpha area. Again, some limited surface
- 16 clearance in there because of lead issues. And
- then the industrial landfill, most of you know
- 18 that's right off of Landfill 4 on the northwestern
- 19 -- northeastern side of the landfill. That the
- 20 JPA is going to be responsible for capping and
- 21 maintaining. And I understand the JPA wants to
- 22 keep that open for several more years because they
- 23 are going to use it for disposal of construction

1	debris associated with other removal that's going
2	on post, so we will transfer, we still hold a
3	permit on that. We'll transfer that permit to the
4	JPA subsequent to the ESCA so they can do that.
5	Moving on, 215, former defendam range. It's
6	in the same location as 89Q. And again it's some
7	limited surface clearance. Fill area east of
8	Reilly Lake that is 22, this particular site right
9	here. Again, those are part of the fill areas, all
10	of the fill areas that we were looking at, another
11	capping and maintenance requirement here, similar
12	to what I just talked about. 229Q fill area
13	northwest of Reilly, same here, another capping and
14	maintenance requirement. And 230, these are all
15	the fill areas that we have talked about.
16	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Remind me of the
17	fill area, is that stumps and stuff like that? The
18	definition of a fill area.
19	MR. LEVY: Some place where material
20	was placed in a hole. You know, it's the same
21	thing as a landfill, that you think of as a
22	landfill. It may not have been a sanitary
23	landfill, this may have been a fill for other

things. There was some stabilization that we agreed to fund out on 230Q, essentially some rip-rap and some leveling out there to stabilize the site.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Former anti-tank range 37 millimeter 230Q-X, in this area right in here. Again, some more soil removal and lead stabilization associated with the lead issues.

That moves into the OE characterization. I don't know that I've given you this map, but it's incorporated into the one that you've got in front This is just a little bit easier to see. of you. Separate program UXO we're funding this as well, buyers based on the characterization that was done out there. So, the purple cross hatch on your map there which is essentially this area here, y'all see where I'm pointing to? What we've done for those areas, based on discussions with the JPA and their contractor and ADEM, and ADEM's concerns about the characterizations in those areas, we're funding the JPA to do additional characterization in there, i.e. more sampling to establish whether or not there is a need for removal or not.

1	So, what we're saying there is that we're
2	going to fund it. We the Army don't necessarily
3	believe that there's any more ordnance in those
4	areas, but because of ADEM's concerns on the
5	sampling, this is one of the issues that we've got,
6	you've all heard about that we were going back and
7	forth with ADEM on in terms of the letters, well we
8	came to a conclusion and an agreement that okay, we
9	would do some more sampling to try to close the
10	door as to whether or not there was any ordnance
11	out there, and we're going to pass that off to the
12	JPA to do. So this area in here, what we're saying
13	is we're going to fund the JPA to do more sampling
14	in there to determine whether there is an ordnance
15	issue or not. And then if there's a remedial
16	action requirement associated with that, that's an
17	Army retained condition. So, I stand corrected
18	no, there's funding in there for the JPA to
19	remediate if necessary. I stand corrected. Did I
20	make that clear?
21	And then the next sites on your maps it's a
22	blue cross-hatched area, can you see that? The
23	blue cross-hatched area, you'll see there's about

1	eight different sites there, starting from the top
2	to the bottom, and I really won't point them all
3	out, but the M6-1L suspect area, 1/AR, we are
4	funding a clearance to depth for that. M5-1L-1,
5	we're funding a clearance to depth. And again,
6	this was based upon our discussion and agreements
7	with the JPA on their need to meet their land use.
8	We're funding specific types of clearances. It's
9	not just land use, it's also based on the ordnance
10	that we know was in those areas and where it may
11	have impacted to what level. So, M5-1L (South)-PR,
12	that was a one foot clearance. M6-1M, which was a
13	small area, but was also a one foot clearance.
14	M6-1M transect area 1 South, that included a
15	clearance to one foot, clearance to depth and some
16	subsurface clearance as determined by the proposed
17	reuse. So there is some flexibility in that. Same
18	thing with Transect area 2, M6-1M, North transect
19	area 2, and then we move down to M6-1 suspect area
20	north-PR, a one foot clearance there. And M6-M
21	suspect area south PR one foot clearance there.
22	So, that's where, from my perspective, the
23	bulk of the forty-eight point five million is going

to. There is, I think, about eleven percent of it 1 2 is associated with insurance that the JPA will have 3 to fund. So, over four million dollars in insurance costs are associated with the forty-eight 5 point five million as well. And then there's 6 management cost and overhead that they have to derive out of all of that. 8 MR. DOYLE: Now, putting this all 9 into perspective, the primary document that's out there is the consent order or consent agreement 10 that runs between ADEM and JPA which establishes 11 12 what the cleanup levels are. The Army has funded 13 to those cleanup levels. Now, the insurance covers 14 a couple of things. It covers what's typical on 15 any type of construction where you are moving dirt. 16 Cost overrun, the Army does not have that responsibility. We have paid for taking care of 17 that provision if that were to occur through the 18 19 use of the insurance policy, as well as for 20 unknowns. If something is found out there that we 21 have not costed but it's something that, for want 22 of a better word, there's a likelihood that you might find it out there, well, again the insurance,

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

23

1	and this is very simplified, because, I'll be
2	honest with you, even as an attorney this type of
3	insurance is not my strong point. But the basic
4	premise is the insurance covers unknowns out there.
5	So, if something else is found in the course of
6	doing the remediation that was unanticipated, for
7	the most part, the insurance will cover.
8	But, of course, like anything else, Uncle Sam
9	is always back there if insurance for whatever
10	reasons were not to cover it, the likelihood is
11	quite great that the Army is still sitting back
12	there holding, is going to be the one that gets the
13	bill and has to pay the piper, so to speak. But
14	characterization, for the most part, was well
15	enough along, other than for the ones where
16	characterization still wasn't completed, that the
17	likelihood we find an unknown out there is pretty
18	small at this point of the game given the amount of
19	investigation that we've done. But it is an
20	integral part. Without insurance, especially in
21	the UXO arena, this deal would have never gotten
22	off the ground. So, on the other hand, UXO is
23	being watched carefully by all parties concerned,

1	not just ADEM but also the Army. Because this is
2	the first place that privatization of UXO has
3	occurred. So, we have a vested interest in that.

- 4 The Army would like to be able to, if the
- 5 circumstances allow it, to get out of that business
- 6 and to pass that on to the LRAs at other
- 7 installations from coast to coast.
- 8 MR. STROUD: I have a question on
- 9 your lower figure there you have Highway 21 being
- in purple. I want to clarify that.
- 11 MR. LEVY: Yeah, in fact, what I
- didn't mention to you the Army actually owns the \_\_\_
- 13 (inaudible) title under Highway 21. When they
- built Highway 21 they obviously got the property
- from the Army. So as part of the transfer we're
- going to be transferring to ALDOT, the rest of the
- 17 \_\_ (inaudible) that's under 21.
- 18 MR. MILLER: Are y'all going to pave
- it before you transfer it?
- MR. LEVY: No, we just thought we
- 21 would let the waterworks people dig it up and they
- 22 could repave it.
- MR. DOYLE: Whenever the last

1	transfer to ALDOT for the Eastern Bypass occurs, we
2	will transfer that from the remaining property at
3	the same time.
4	MR. LEVY: The one other thing that
5	most of you, I think you do know is that under
6	CERCLA, when we do a transfer of property we give a
7	warranty or covenant that says the Army, simply
8	put, the Army will return if there's contamination
9	associated with Army activities in the past that
10	gets discovered. We don't, there's a deferral on
11	that covenant because we know we're transferring
12	contaminated property until such time as that
13	property is cleaned up. So as the properties
14	become cleaned up, the Army will then provide that
15	covenant, that deferral, I mean that warranty that
16	we'll return in the future if necessary to clean up
17	if there's additional contamination found that's
18	associated with Army activities in the past.
19	MR. DOYLE: And that's the same
20	covenant that's in every piece of property that's
21	transferred to date on Fort McClellan.
22	DR. HARRINGTON: Okay, timely
23	report. Let me make a note before we go on to the

1	next business, Mayor Kimbrough and Mr. Miller did
2	come in prior to the reporting. I just want to
3	make sure it gets into the record.
4	DR. COX: One more question, what,
5	does the role of the regulatory agencies change
6	when it's transferred to JPA? Doesn't ADEM consume
7	primary responsibility for it?
8	MR. LEVY: The role does change in
9	the sense that the cleanup that JPA is doing will
10	now be under state authority, state statutes that
11	go through RCRA. The investigations where I said
12	that JPA was completing the investigation at an
13	Army retained condition like T-38, that's going to
14	continue to be done on a CERCLA because that's
15	still an Army responsibility. Where the JPA is
16	doing the characterization, their remediation,
17	that's all going to be done under the State's RCRA
18	and that's in accordance with the cleanup agreement
19	or what we're calling the consent order. And the
20	Army was brought into that to do an MOA that we're
21	signing with the state as well.
22	MR. BECKETT: What sort of tie is
23	there between appropriations processes, and I'm way

1	over my head in this, but we're in big debt in
2	Washington, what sort of tie is there in terms of
3	the timeliness of cleanup, moneys available here if
4	something should be discovered and budget processes
5	in Washington?
6	MR. DOYLE: I can answer that
7	question. The forty-eight point five million
8	dollars there, that's already been set aside.
9	MR. LEVY: Already appropriated.
10	MR. DOYLE: Now, not withstanding
11	the ceremony today where we passed the check,
12	that's not quite exactly how it works. The JPA is
13	not given that forty-eight point five million up
14	front. That's just like anything else, they bill
15	on a quarterly basis for work, for services done in
16	that past quarter and then we reimburse them for
17	that. Also as part of the DSMOA program, money is
18	being given over and above what's given to the
19	state now to do their regulatory oversight of the
20	cleanup by the JPA.
21	On the other hand, well obviously like I said,
22	the Army's presence continues and we have our own
23	separate budget. Now, for unforeseen costs, I

1	mean, that's going to have to go into the budgetary
2	process. I mean, if we're talking, what I call,
3	and I hate to say small potatoes, because it's not
4	really small potatoes, not to any of us paying
5	bills at the end of the month, but small things,
6	that's probably not going to be a problem. Now, if
7	there were some major issue unforeseen along the
8	scale of a groundwater issue like in Landfill 3,
9	obviously that's going to have to be part of the
10	Army's budgetary process and might take a year or
11	so to get appropriately funded. I mean, it doesn't
12	happen overnight.
13	There's a different story if something was
14	found that's an immediate threat to the environment
15	or human health and safety of the public, that's a
16	whole different story and there's always
17	contingency funds that are available out there,
18	shades of finding munitions up in the DC suburbs
19	there several years ago, that we're all well aware
20	of, that was an immediate Army response because
21	there was a direct threat to human health and the
22	environment. That's not to downplay, but if it's
23	normal stuff that even though we haven't discovered

1 in the past that we find now an additional lead 2 over and above what we thought was there, I mean, 3 that's going to have to be put into the normal Army budging process to address, because that's not an 5 immediate threat to human health or the 6 environment. 7 MR. LEVY: I'll just mention to you 8 that all of these sites, to include what's to be 9 negotiated in the Bravo area, are already in the Army's budgeting cycle. And every year we do a 10 cost to complete where we identify what our costs 11 12 are. Every year we can refine it based on better 13 characterization data. We may get closer to a 14 number which may go up or it may go down based on 15 our characterization, but it's in the budget cycle. 16 And we have been in the budget cycle now since our 17 inception. So, some years are good years, some years are bad years based on where they are 18 19 divvying the money out to. This year has been a 20 good year for, well, particularly for the JPA. I 21 don't think we the Army, the guys on the ground, 22 would have ever seen forty-eight point five million

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

from a cleanup standpoint. We just never would

23

1	have gotten that kind of money. The JPA certainly,
2	since they are taking on the cleanup, did get to
3	see that kind of money. So from that standpoint,
4	it is a success to you all.
5	MR. DOYLE: And then as a follow-on,
6	Mayor Kimbrough last month's meeting asked what our
7	budget was for the current fiscal year, which was
8	October of `02 through October of `03 this year,
9	and to get back to you with an answer on that, it
10	was just a little over twelve million dollars that
11	we've expended in the entire, all of the
12	environment remediation projects at Fort McClellan.
13	And while we do not have our budget that begins
14	October `04, it's going to be much leaner than what
15	we had available. Of course, now, some of that is
16	because of the fact that JPA will be taking on what
17	was the Army's responsibility. But notwithstanding
18	that, this is going to be a lean year before the
19	next BRAC round where we presume there will be
20	additional moneys that come available.
21	DR. HARRINGTON: Thank you, Ron, for
22	the report. Has our questions been satisfied?
23	Now, we will move to our new business. Mr. Hood

1	submitted his resignation to the RAB. And his
2	letter just thusly states "Please accept my
3	resignation from the RAB. I've been very honored
4	to be a part of Fort McClellan RAB since its
5	creation. Unfortunately it has become difficult to
6	attend the meetings. Thank you, Ronald Lee Hood."
7	We will be accepting that. Now, what you
8	need to tell me, you know, we need a new member. I
9	think we have one application left, and that's Mr.
10	Keith Howland. And we do have the applications on
11	the web and he's been among our pool since I guess
12	the last three or four elections. Give me the
13	wishes of the body.
14	MS. FATHKE: Are you asking us
15	whether or not we want to vote him on?
16	DR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
17	MS. FATHKE: I would like to see his
18	resume one more time to refresh my memory of him.
19	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I really need to
20	contact him first to see if he's still interested.
21	I would just kind of like to know if the RAB would
22	like me to ask him if he's interested since he's
23	been passed over a few times, I wasn't really sure.

1	DR. HARRINGTON: RAB members?
2	MR. BECKETT: Is there any way you
3	could send us out the resume over the e-mail? Or
4	should we just go back through our previous
5	packets?
6	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I could, but
7	before we, you can vote on that I need to make sure
8	that he is still willing to serve, that his
9	schedule would still allow it. That he's still
10	interested. But I could e-mail his application to
11	you.
12	MS. FATHKE: Why don't you do that
13	first.
14	DR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Our next
15	order of business then once you get the e-mail, you
16	will respond directly to Brenda if you want her to
17	make contact to see if he's interested. I see your
18	heads, help me now.
19	MR. MILLER: That's a good idea. We
20	like that.
21	DR. HARRINGTON: All right. We need
22	
23	MR. DOYLE: How is Brenda to

43

4		1.1.		_
1	interpret	tne	no	response?

- 2 DR. HARRINGTON: No response means
- 3 no. I didn't need the e-mail. But I think if we
- 4 get an e-mail we ought to do it anyway. But I
- 5 still have my copy. So.
- 6 MR. LEVY: It would be helpful too
- 7 if RAB members could nominate some folks, you know,
- get names into the pot so you could look at them.
- 9 DR. HARRINGTON: Are we ready to
- 10 move to the next item? We need to elect a vice
- 11 chair. Before we get there, I would like to say to
- 12 all of you all thank you for allowing me to serve
- as your co-chair this past year. And this will be
- 14 my last meeting in that capacity. It's been great.
- 15 I'm just looking forward to sitting over there
- 16 where Mr. Clendenin is sitting. The floor is open
- for nominations for a vice chair.
- 18 DR. COX: I nominate David Steffy.
- DR. HARRINGTON: Okay, I hear a
- 20 nomination of David Steffy, is there another
- 21 nomination from the floor?
- 22 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I make a motion
- that the nominations be closed.

		44
1	MR. MILLER: Second.	
2	DR. HARRINGTON: It has been	
3	motioned and seconded that the nominations be	
4	closed on the name of Dr. David Steffy. Are you	
5	ready to vote? All in favor that the motion be	
6	closed, let it known by aye.	
7	RAB BOARD: Aye.	
8	DR. HARRINGTON: Opposed the same	
9	opportunity.	
10	DR. STEFFY: Opposed.	
11	DR. HARRINGTON: Being opposer in	
12	the minority does not count here. You have been	
13	elected as our vice chair.	
14	MR. DOYLE: Did we just vote to	
15	close the nominations? Don't you have to vote	
16	DR. HARRINGTON: I did. And I	
17	moved to close, and he opposed. And because he	
18	opposed and he was in the minority, then we just	
19	elected Dr. Steffy.	

20 MR. DOYLE: Congratulations.

21 DR. HARRINGTON: Okay. And you have

a fine group of folks that will do everything

23 possible to help you.

22

1	Okay, we're down to the agency reports. And I
2	think Doyle is not here but his report is in the
3	packet.
4	MR. STROUD: Okay, we've received
5	some letters and a couple of reports here. I'll go
6	ahead and pass them this way and this way here.
7	Right now I'm in review of these reports coming in
8	and a couple of priorities, we have been working
9	extensively on this transfer, on the FOSET,
10	especially me on the FOSET, and working hard for a
11	lot of the bosses above me. They have been
12	requesting me do a lot of things for them for this
13	event they had today. We, as for the reports that
14	we've reviewed, actually there is a laundry list of
15	them that are going to be signed very soon. They
16	have been tied up with this transfer. And I can
17	understand why they are a little bit behind on
18	certain things, and so next time we'll probably
19	have a big list of those to be reviewed. But if
20	you have any questions, feel free to call me at
21	ADEM and we'll discuss any of these reports or what
22	we've done, where we're heading. And again, I have
23	talked with Doyle and his is attached I think to

1 the agenda, and then back here. Anyway, he didn't 2 have anything to add. 3 DR. HARRINGTON: Okay, and we don't have a report from JPA, we know their report was a 5 big shindig today that most of us got a chance to 6 attend. I'm sorry that I was unable to. But my grandmother was funeralized on Saturday, which 8 meant I spent time out of the office last week and 9 I had to catch up. Because I have to travel tonight when I leave here. 10 We don't have anything from the technical 11 12 review committee. I was speaking with Pete and 13 Craig this past week, and they were to hopefully 14 try and make contact with Bob. And of course I had 15 e-mailed them. But in the midst of all of this with my granny, I didn't get back with them. But 16 Craig and hopefully Pete were going to meet. 17 Because Bob is getting a little bit disgusted, and 18 19 frankly so is the chair, because the board or 20 members of the board thought that they needed this 21 expertise or they needed this grant because they 22 had some things that they needed, and we have not given Mr. Schmitter any direction. And it really 23

1	needs to be done or we need to, if this is what the
2	board said that it wanted. So technical review or
3	even members of the board, and Mr. Clendenin, you
4	are about to get to inherit this baby, so any
5	suggestions is definitely welcome. Because we
6	don't need to be saying we want things if we are
7	not going to use them. We teach our children
8	against that. What you ask for, you use. Okay.
9	I've had my spill.
10	Our next report I think is it the action
11	summary sheet?
12	MR. LEVY: That's me. You all have
13	a copy of the action summary sheet in your
14	handouts. I'll just briefly go through each one of
15	those. In relation to the off site groundwater
16	monitoring that we were doing at Landfill 3, we did
17	do a report last month discussing the results of
18	that. That is complete at this point. Any
19	additional work beyond what we've done right now
20	will be part of the JPA initiative in the
21	cooperative agreement. So we're pretty much
22	finished with any additional groundwater that the
23	Army is going to do in relation to Landfill 3.

1	On the ordnance and explosive site, from the
2	Alpha area standpoint there, the Army obviously
3	transferred or is in the process of transferring
4	this property to the JPA. There is provision
5	within the cooperative agreement with transfer for
6	additional investigation and characterization, so
7	that's moving forward in that sense. For the Bravo
8	area, we're still working on the draft EE/CA report
9	on the Bravo area. There's lots of internal
10	discussions because it will become part of the
11	follow-on negotiations with the JPA, so that's not
12	complete and has not gone out to the regulatory
13	agency. So we're still working that internally.
14	Within the Bravo area there is the wire removal.
15	Those of you who don't remember what that area,
16	I'll point it out. This blue area here, it was
17	important that we get in there and do a removal so
18	that the JPA would have the ability to develop in
19	and around there without having ordnance impacting
20	that development. We're still working the removal
21	out there. And we're progressing towards the
22	completion without giving you a specific date.
23	We've had some delays associated with the weather

1	and some manning issues, but we're still working
2	that removal.
3	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Ron, on the Bravo
4	area where the bypass is going through, you know
5	they have cleared all of that, I understand. But
6	are there going to be any requirements of any way
7	to restrict those areas off the bypass if they
8	haven't been cleaned?
9	MR. LEVY: Conceivably yeah. Within
10	the Bravo area, directly adjacent to the Eastern
11	Bypass, there will probably be some defined
12	removals that could affect what we're calling
13	exclusion zones, because when you do a removal for
14	a piece of ordnance you have to have an exclusion
15	zone depending upon what type of ordnance it is.
16	It essentially blocks out or we have to black out
17	property to keep people from moving into that area
18	while we're doing a removal. So there's a possible
19	impact there, yes.
20	Within the Charlie area, one of the
21	things that we're doing now is we're reviewing the
22	Charlie area EE/CA with the Fish and Wildlife
23	Service, they have a copy of the document. So we

1 expect to have some comments back from them on that 2 as well. The M1-01 area, that's also just to the 3 inside of the bypass Flying-J. We have completed a removal out there, signed an action memorandum, 5 completed a removal and submitted it to the state. 6 The property is included in the FOSET because of 7 ongoing issues with ADEM associated with that, we 8 hope to be able to work those issues out here in 9 the future. And the Eastern Bypass, the Army did submit a 10 draft removal report to ADEM. ADEM provided its 11 12 comments back in June, we're still working --13 excuse me, provided comments back in August, we're 14 still working through those comments. And we'll 15 continue to work with ADEM on those. Hopefully 16 that will be a success though. 17 Well, you've heard most of what I told you about the landfill status and what we're providing 18 19 in terms of funding and the remedies that are going 20 to occur with the JPA's action for the landfill investigations. We will do, at some point the Army 21 22 will do a report of finding on that and pass all of

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

that information off to the JPA so they can

23

1	complete the action that have been negotiated in
2	the cooperative agreement. The FOST or the FOSET
3	that's out there is the one for the early transfer,
4	Bill mentioned to you about forty-six hundred
5	acres, that has been signed by the Army. And we
6	expect it to be signed by the governor here
7	shortly.
8	Other property transfers that are listed down
9	there, D-10 which has been complete, D-12, that's
10	pending, and D-13 which is the FOSET property is
11	expected to be signed here shortly as well. Just a
12	listing of the folks who have been on site. Any
13	specific questions about the work going on in terms
14	of McClellan?
15	MR. GRANT: Ron, anybody ask you to
16	do a study on what killed all the greens on the
17	golf course?
18	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Chemicals, they
19	sprayed the wrong stuff.
20	MR. LEVY: Poor management I
21	suppose. Don't suggest it. Somebody will want me
22	to do that.
23	DR. HARRINGTON: Y'all have been

1	here too long. We must go back to the agenda. Are				
2	you done?				
3	MR. LEVY: Yes.				
4	DR. HARRINGTON: Okay, is there a				
5	TAPP report?				
6	MR. GRANT: No documents to review,				
7	no activity on my part. I did submit a bill for				
8	attending the RAB last month and for just sending				
9	you a note saying I attended the RAB and I was				
10	charging you for it.				
11	DR. HARRINGTON: And Mr. Schmitter				
12	had nothing to report and could not attend the				
13	meeting. In asking about the upcoming programs, we				
14	are being asked to also consider what do we want				
15	for 2004. Do we just want to meet at Fort				
16	McClellan or do you want to continue to meet out in				
17	the community? The chair has no vote on this, but				
18	I really think it's good that the community see us				
19	out in the community.				
20	DR. COX: But does the community see				
21	us when we go out anywhere?				
22	MR. CLENDENIN: Yonni did.				

DR. HARRINGTON: That's almost like

23

- if a tree falls in the forest and nobody is there
- to hear it, does it make a sound?
- 3 DR. COX: Could we not make sounds
- 4 out at the Fort?
- 5 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I think it's good
- 6 to give them the opportunity.
- 7 DR. HARRINGTON: I agree, I really
- 8 do. They don't come, but they know that you have
- 9 been here. They know that, you know they met such
- 10 and such a place, yeah, I know, but how did you
- 11 know. Tell me.
- MR. LEVY: Well, I'll just tell you
- from the Army's standpoint, it's a lot easier for
- 14 us to set these things up and provide all the
- 15 material and do all the coordination, all our copy
- 16 machines and all our material are right there.
- 17 That's just our thoughts. We prefer not having to
- 18 go off site, but we understand.
- 19 MR. CLENDENIN: Well, if you don't
- 20 have a vote now, I won't have a vote then, right?
- DR. HARRINGTON: No, you won't have
- 22 a vote, you better say.
- MR. CLENDENIN: I move that we do it

1 at	the	Fort	all	the	time.
------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

- 2 DR. HARRINGTON: It has been moved
- 3 that we do it at the Fort all the time. We need a
- 4 second.
- 5 MR. ELSER: I'll second.
- DR. HARRINGTON: Okay, it has been
- 7 properly motioned and seconded that we have them at
- 8 the Fort all the time. Are you ready for the
- 9 question? All in favor of said motion let it be
- 10 known by a show of hands. Would somebody count
- 11 them for me? Opposed? Okay, with the record
- noting that we will have the meetings at the Fort.
- 13 Are there comments from the audience?
- 14 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I would like to
- 15 recommend that we send a letter to Mr. Hood, I
- think he's been one of the original members.
- DR. HARRINGTON: We did. And we
- 18 made mention of that fact. Go ahead, I'm sorry.
- MR. DOYLE: Just for everybody's
- 20 knowledge, Mary and I have signed a joint letter
- 21 from both of us thanking him for his participation
- 22 since the RAB's inception.
- 23 DR. HARRINGTON: And we did mention

1	that, you know, because we're getting almost like
2	hens teeth now, extinct. Is there anything else?
3	Again, I do want to say before I leave to Mr.
4	Clendenin and his member how much we appreciate the
5	repass and the opportunity to share with you
6	tonight. Is there anything else? Thank you for
7	allowing me again to serve as your chair. I hope I
8	will not be that lucky again. Do I hear a motion
9	that we adjourn?
10	MR. MILLER: I so move.
11	DR. HARRINGTON: All in favor?
12	RAB BOARD: Aye.
13	DR. HARRINGTON: We are adjourned.
14	(Whereupon this RAB meeting was concluded
15	at 7:40 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	STATE OF ALABAMA )
6	CALHOUN COUNTY )
7	I, DONNA D. GALLAHAR, a Court
8	Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the State
9	of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and
10	qualified, hereby certify that the above referenced
11	meeting was reduced by me to shorthand in the
12	presence of said witnesses, afterwards transcribed
13	upon a computer; and that the foregoing is a true
14	and correct transcript of the meeting to the best
15	of my ability.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that this
17	meeting was taken at the time and place in the
18	foregoing caption specified, and was completed
19	without adjournment.
20	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
21	relative, counsel, or attorney for any party, or
22	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
23	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have

1	hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal at
2	Anniston, Alabama, on this the 29th day of
3	September, 2003.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	DONNA D. GALLAHAR Notary Public in and for
9	Alabama at Large
10	
11	My commission expires May 20, 2005.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	